Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US From: 8/16/2012 2:50:19 PM

Sent:

To: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy CC:

Helen Duteau/R3/USEPA/US BCC: Subject: Re: Dimock test results

Hi Mark,

Did anyone else from EPA respond to your previous questions? I forwarded your email to EPA's Community Involvement Coordinator for the Dimock site, and was told she'd get back to you. Let me know. I'll forward these most recent questions as well. -- Terri

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy From: To: Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA

08/16/2012 02:11 PM Subject: Re: Dimock test results

Hello Terry,

I have a couple more questions that I thought I'd send along to add to my previous...

-Is the total number of wells 57? That's what I came up with scrolling through the posted dataset. I

thought I had seen 61 somewhere and wondered if some were not included.

-The trigger threshold of 28 mg/L for methane? I know very little about methane "thresholds," but have seen a lower number for mitigation (10 mg/L). Do you have any info on why 28?

Any information you can provide on any of these questions will be much appreciated. I'm preparing for a

town meeting tonight and would like to be as informed as possible.

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy thanks much,

> I will get back to you, Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy > > > From: ____ Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA > To: > Date: 08/13/2012 02:11 PM > Subject: Re: Dimock test results > > > hi Terri,

> Thanks for your quick reply. I am a private citizen, resident of CAroline,

> I'm just trying to get a solid understanding of the Dimock water results.

> CAn

> you direct me to someone who can help?

DIM0125880 DIM0125880

```
> thanks, Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
>
>> Hi Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
> >
>> Are you with a media/news organization? If so, which one? As the EPA
>> press contact for Dimock, I'm only fielding inquiries from
> reporters/news
> > writers. -- Terri
> >
> >
>>
>>
>> From: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
         Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA
> > To:
> > Date: 08/13/2012 01:33 PM
> > Subject:
                Dimock test results
> >
>>
> >
> > Hello Terri,
>> I have a few questions after examining the well test data from Dimock
> that
> > |
> > hope you can help me with...
>> 1. I presume testing included all affected homes on Carter Road? Is this
> > true?
>>
>> 2. How was list of substances selected? I presume appropriate tests were
>> performed for all substances listed in the test results. Is this right?
>> 3. Do filtered samples reflect the treatment systems currently in place?
> > Can you
> > tell me how many wells are now filtered and which ones?
>> 4. Similary, how many wells have methane mitigation? Which ones? (20?)
>> 5. Is there information (map) on the distribution of sampled water wells
>> relative to gas wells?
>>
>> 6. Why is the trigger for Barium higher than the Primary MCL?
>>
>> 7. Glycol -does the caveat on this analysis mean that it wasn't really
> > examined?
> >
                 Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
> > Thank you.
> 취 Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
> >
>> This message was sent using Endymion MailMan.
> > http://www.endymion.com/products/mailman/
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

DIM0125880 DIM0125881

>	This message was sent using Endymion MailMan.
>	http://www.endymion.com/products/mailman/
>	

> > >

This message was sent using Endymion MailMan. http://www.endymion.com/products/mailman/

DIM0125880 DIM0125882